IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

WSOU INVESTMENTS, LLC D/B/A BRAZOS LICENSING AND DEVELOPMENT,

Plaintiff,

v.

DELL TECHNOLOGIES INC., DELL INC., AND EMC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WSOU INVESTMENTS, LLC D/B/A BRAZOS LICENSING AND DEVELOPMENT,

Plaintiff,

v.

DELL TECHNOLOGIES INC., DELL INC., EMC CORPORATION, AND VMWARE, INC.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00473-ADA CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00474-ADA CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00475-ADA CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00476-ADA CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00477-ADA CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00478-ADA CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00479-ADA CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00482-ADA

PATENT CASE

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00480-ADA CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00481-ADA CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00485-ADA CIVIL ACTION 6:20-CV-00486-ADA

PATENT CASE

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to the scheduling orders in these cases, the Parties jointly submit this claim construction statement.

I. GROUP 1: -480, -481, -485, AND -486 CASES

A. -480 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,539,133

-480 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,539,133 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"whether a congestion condition exists [on/for] the egress node" (Claims 1, 12, and 13)	Plain and ordinary meaning	"whether the egress node is currently congested"
(Proposed by Defendants)		

-480 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,539,133 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"processing the packets"	Plain and ordinary meaning	"modifying, at the ingress
(Claims 1, 12, and 13)		node, the queuing priority of
		packets destined for the egress
(Proposed by Defendants)		node"

-480 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,539,133 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"such that packets associated with egress nodes for which the congestion condition does not exist have a different queuing priority within the load balancing network than packets associated with egress nodes for which the congestion condition exists" (Claims 1, 12, and 13)	Plain and ordinary meaning	"such that packets are marked depending on whether they are destined for a congested egress node, such that marked packets have a different probability of being dropped"
(Proposed by Defendants)		

-480 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,539,133 (Agreed Function; Disputed Structure)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"means for determining, for	This term is subject to 35	This term is subject to 35
each packet, whether a	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6
congestion condition exists	Function: determining, for	Function: determining, for
on the egress node" (Claim	each packet, whether a	each packet, whether a
12) (Claims 1, 12, and 13)	congestion condition exists on	congestion condition exists on
	the egress node	the egress node
(Proposed by both Parties)		
		Structure: Indefinite

Structure: processor 210	
performing operations at '133	
patent, 5:11-20	

-480 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,539,133 (Agreed Function; Disputed Structure)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"means for processing the	This term is subject to 35	This term is subject to 35
packets such that packets	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6.	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6.
associated with egress nodes	Function: processing the	Function: processing the
for which the congestion	packets such that packets	packets such that packets
condition does not exist have	associated with egress nodes	associated with egress nodes
a different queuing priority	for which the congestion	for which the congestion
within the load-balancing	condition does not exist have	condition does not exist have
network than packets	a different queuing priority	a different queuing priority
associated with egress nodes	within the load-balancing	within the load-balancing
for which the congestion	network than packets	network than packets
condition exists" (Claim 12)	associated with egress nodes	associated with egress nodes
	for which the congestion	for which the congestion
(Proposed by both Parties)	condition exists	condition exists
	Structure: processor 210	Structure: processor 210
	which marks packets in a	which marks the packets such
	manner that differentiates	that marked packets have a
	queuing priority based on	different probability of being
	whether the packets are	dropped than unmarked
	associated with egress nodes	packets
	for which the congestion	
	condition exists	

B. -481 Case, U.S. Patent No. 9,164,800

-481 Case, U.S. Patent No. 9,164,800 (Disputed)			
Claim Term/Phrase Plaintiff's Construction Defendants' Construction			
"latency cost" (Claims 1, 13)	Plain and ordinary meaning	"communication delay	
	-	between a compute node and a	
(Proposed by Defendants)		data node"	

-481 Case, U.S. Patent No. 9,164,800 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"[determining/determine] an assignment objective" (Claims 1, 13)	Plain and ordinary meaning	"select[ing] one of a plurality of assignment objectives"
(Proposed by Defendants)		

C. -485 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,636,309

-485 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,636,309 (Agreed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Agreed Construction	
"split ratio vector" (Claims 1, 11, and 16)	"the proportion of the flow routed in each path"	
(Proposed by Defendants)		

D. -486 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,092,360

	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
		Detenuants Construction
"said element comprises: an element for recording whether a queue is empty or occupied, an element for recording the [number of data cells/quantity of data] contained in a queue, an element identifying a queue	Plain and ordinary meaning	"said element includes <i>all of</i> : an element for recording whether a queue is empty or occupied, an element for recording the quantity of data contained in a queue, an element identifying a queue
from which data is to be output, and an element identifying a group of queues from which data is to be output" (Claims 1 and 26) (Proposed by Defendants)		from which data is to be output, and an element identifying a group of queues from which data is to be output"

-486 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,092,360 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"expected state for said element"; "predetermined state for said element"; "expected value of said parameter"; "expected states for that element"; "expected status for said element"; "expected status for said element"; "expected state of said first element" (Claims 1, 3, 12, 13, 18, 21, 24, 26, 28, 29, 48, and 49) (Proposed by Defendants)	Plain and ordinary meaning	"a [state/value] for the [element/parameter] that would be expected if the scheduler is functioning properly"

-486 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,092,360 (Disputed)			
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction	
"computer generated model" (Claims 1, 18, 21, 26, 44, and 45)	•	"a simulated computer model of circuitry describing a scheduler"	
(Proposed by Defendants)		Schodard	

-486 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,092,360 (Agreed Function; Disputed Structure)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"detection means for detecting	This term is subject to 35	This term is subject to 35
a state of an element" (Claims	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6
1 and $18)^1$	Function: detecting a state of	Function: detecting a state of
	an element	an element
(Proposed by Defendants)		
	Structure: module 110, 112,	Structure: modules 110, 112,
	114, 115, 118, 120, 122, 124,	114 to 130 using a
	126, 128, or 130	programming language
		interface (PLI) as described in
		'360 patent, 12:11–41

-486 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,092,360 (Agreed Function; Disputed Structure)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"comparing means for	This term is subject to 35	This term is subject to 35
comparing the detected state	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6
with a predetermined state for	Function: comparing the	Function: comparing the
said element and for	detected state with a	detected state with a
outputting the result of the	predetermined state for said	predetermined state for said
comparison" (Claim 1) ²		

_

¹ Defendants briefed this term as representative of the following terms that are materially the same: "means for requesting said scheduler model to pass the status of said element to said monitor" (claim 1); "monitoring means for monitoring a parameter relating to the operation of said scheduler" (claim 3); and "means for detecting the state of at least one element of said scheduler whose state depends on which queue is selected by said scheduler for outputting a test cell" (claim 24). *E.g.*, No. 6:20-cv-00480, D.I. 82 at 8 n.5. WSOU never disputed in either of its briefs the representativeness of the term. *Id.*, D.I. 80 at 6–8; *Id.*, D.I. 83 at 5–6.

² Defendants briefed this term as representative of claim 24, which recites materially the same limitation as claim 1: "a monitor having . . . comparison means for at least one of: comparing the detected element status with an expected status for said element based on the detected queue identity and comparing the detected queue identity with an expected queue identity based on the detected status of said element." Defendants likewise briefed this term as representative of the following: "comparison means for comparing the detected parameter with said expected

(Proposed by Defendants)	element and for outputting the result of the comparison	element and for outputting the result of the comparison
	Structure: rule checker 132	Structure: Indefinite

-486 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,092,360 (Agreed Function; Disputed Structure)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"determining means for	This term is subject to 35	This term is subject to 35
determining an expected value	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6
of said parameter" (Claim 18)	Function: determining an	Function: determining an
	expected value of said	expected value of said
(Proposed by Defendants)	parameter	parameter
	Structure: operation(s)	Structure: Indefinite
	which apply one or more rules	
	interrelating "the detected"	
	state and the "expected	
	value," as explained, for	
	example, at 6:34-37, 6:45-58,	
	and 9:12-11:60	

-486 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,092,360 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"element for recording	No construction required apart	This term is subject to 35
whether a queue is empty or	from finding this term is not	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6
occupied" (claims 1, 5, 6, 7–9,	subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶	
14–15, 20, 25, 26, 30, 33–35,	6. Alternatively, if deemed	Function: recording whether
and 38)	subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶	a queue is empty or occupied
	6, then,	
(Proposed by Defendants)	Function: recording whether	Structure: queue status
	a queue is empty or occupied.	register 165, 167, 201, or 203
	Structure: data storage	
	within a scheduler, such as,	
	for example, queue status	
	register 165, 167, 201, or 203	

-486 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,092,360 (Disputed)

1.0

parameter and for outputting the result of the comparison" (claim 18); and "means for detecting the state of an element of said scheduler at a plurality of different times and comparing the detected states with expected states and outputting the result of said comparison" (claim 21). *E.g.*, No. 6:20-cv-00480, D.I. 82 at 12 n.9. WSOU never disputed in either of its briefs the representativeness of the term. *Id.*, D.I. 80, 8–10; *Id.*, D.I. 83 at 6–7.

6

Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"an element for recording the	No construction required apart	This term is subject to 35
[number of [data]	from finding this term is not	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6
cells/quantity of data]	subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶	
contained in a queue" (claims	6. Alternatively, if deemed	Function: recording the
$1, 9, 20, 26, 30, \text{ and } 38^3)^4$	subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶	[quantity of data/number of
	6, then,	data cells] contained in a
(Proposed by Defendants)	Function: recording the	queue
	[quantity of data / number of	
	cells / number of data cells]	Structure: counter 169, 205,
	contained in a queue;	or 207
	Structure: data storage	
	within a scheduler, such as,	
	for example, counter 169, 205,	
	or 207	

-486 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,092,360 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"an element identifying a	No construction required apart	This term is subject to 35
queue from which data is to be	from finding this term is not	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6
output" (claims 1 and 26 ⁵) ⁶	subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶	, and the second
	6. Alternatively, if deemed	

_

³ Defendants briefed this term as representative for claims 1, 5, 6, 7–9, 14–15, 20, 25, 26, 30, 33–35, and 38. *E.g.*, No. 6:20-cv-00480, D.I. 82 at 17; *Id.*, D.I. 86 at 9. WSOU never disputed in either of its briefs that each claim recites materially the same term, but requested that claims 5–6, 1415, and 33–35 be deleted from this Joint Claim Construction Statement. *Id.*, D.I. 80 at 14; *Id.*, D.I. 83 at 10–11. WSOU argues for the first time that Defendants "improperly conflate these expressly distinct terms into one," but offers no explanation at all.

⁴As explained in WSOU's opening brief, and reiterated in its reply brief, WSOU objects to the inclusion of these additional and distinct terms because Dell offers these additional terms for construction in excess of the Court's Order limiting the total number of disputed terms to no more than thirty-six across all cases. Br. 13, 15; Reply at 10. WSOU further objects to Dell's attempt to improperly conflate these expressly distinct terms into one. *Id*.

⁵ Defendants briefed this term as representative for claims 1, 26, 5, 20, and 30. *E.g.*, No. 6:20-cv-00480, D.I. 82 at 18; *Id.*, D.I. 86 at 9. WSOU never disputed in either of its briefs that each claim recites materially the same term, but requested that claims 5, 20, and 30 be deleted from this Joint Claim Construction Statement. *Id.*, D.I. 80 at 15; *Id.*, D.I. 83 at 11. WSOU argues for the first time that Defendants "improperly conflate these expressly distinct terms into one," but offers no explanation at all.

⁶ WSOU raises the same objections for these terms, which Dell neglected to separately count against the total limit, as set forth in note 1, *supra*.

(Proposed by Defendants)	subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶	Function: identifying a
	6, then,	queue from which data is to
	Function: identifying a queue	be output
	from which data is to be	
	output	Structure: pointer 177, 179,
		181, 183, 209, 211, 213, or
	Structure: data storage	215
	within a scheduler, such as,	
	for example, pointer 177, 179,	
	181, 183, 209, 211, 213, or	
	215	

-486 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,092,360 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"an element indicating a	No construction required apart	This term is subject to 35
group of queues from which	from finding this term is not	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6
data is to be output" (claims	subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶	
$(126^7)^8$	6. Alternatively, if deemed	Function:
	subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶	[identifying/indicating] a
(Proposed by Defendants)	6, then,	group of queues, from which
	Function: identifying a queue	data is to be output
	from which data is to be	_
	output	Structure: Indefinite
	_	
	Structure: priority selector	
	173 or 208	

_

⁷ Defendants briefed the term "an element [identifying/indicating] a group of queues from which data is to be output" as representative for claims 1, 5, 9, 14–15, 20, 26, 30, 33, 35, and 38. *E.g.*, No. 6:20-cv-00480, D.I. 82 at 19; *Id.*, D.I. 86 at 10. WSOU never disputed in either of its briefs that each claim recites materially the same term, but requested that claims 5, 9, 14–15, 20, 30, 33, 35, and 38 be deleted from this Joint Claim Construction Statement. *Id.*, D.I. 80 at 15–16; *Id.*, D.I. 83 at 11–13. WSOU argues for the first time that Defendants "improperly conflate these expressly distinct terms into one," but offers no explanation at all.

⁸ WSOU raises the same objections for these terms, which Dell neglected to separately count against the total limit, as set forth in note 1, *supra*. WSOU further objects to Dell's belated argument, raised for the first time in its reply brief, that the scope of the dispute should also encompass distinct phrases of other claims which do not recite "an element identifying."

II. GROUP 2: -473 AND -478 CASES

A. -473 Case, U.S. Patent No. 9,137,144

-473 Case, U.S. Patent No. 9,137,144 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"group of communication traffic" (claims 1, 4, 11, 12, 14)	Plain and ordinary meaning	"traffic in a VLAN or other identifiable communications group"
(Proposed by Defendants)		

-473 Case, U.S. Patent No. 9,137,144 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"V is a group identifier	Plain and ordinary meaning	Plain and ordinary meaning;
corresponding to the group of		but the group identifier cannot
communication traffic"		be a hash value based on
(claims 1, 11, 14)		packet fields such as source
		address and destination
(Proposed by Defendants)		address

B. -478 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,126,921

-478 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,126,921 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"fast propagation" (claims 1,	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite
9, & 17)		
		In the alternative this means
(Proposed by Defendants)		"much faster than using the
		computing means, e.g. by
		using OSPF routing protocol"

-478 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,126,921 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"data plane means for	Subject to means-plus-function construction.	3
forwarding packets between the nodes" (claim 1) / "data	construction.	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6.
plane means for forwarding	Claim 1	Claim 1
packets to other nodes in the	Function : forwarding packets between the nodes	Function: forwarding packets
network" (claims 9 & 17)	Structure: 4:44-60 (link	between the nodes
(Proposed by both Parties)	interface 216 and switching fabric 214); and equivalent structures	Structure: Data plane 202 (distinct from the computing means) including switching fabric 214 and link interface
	<u>Claim 9 & 17</u>	216; and equivalent structures

Function: forwarding packets	
to other nodes in the network	Claim 9 & 17
Structure: 4:44-60 (link	Function: forwarding packets
interface 216 and switching	to other nodes in the network
fabric 214); and equivalent	Structure: Data plane 202
structures	(distinct from the computing
	means) including switching
	fabric 214 and link interface
	216; and equivalent structures
	1

-478 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,126,921 (Agreed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Agreed Construction	
"computing means for control	Subject to means-plus-function construction.	
of the nodes" (Claim 1)		
	Function (Claim 1): control of the nodes	
"computing means for		
controlling the node" (Claims	Function (Claims 9 & 17): controlling the node	
9 & 17)		
	Structure (Claims 1, 9, & 17): CPU 206; and equivalent	
(Proposed by both Parties)	structures	

-478 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,126,921 (Agreed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Agreed Construction	
"means for fast propagation	Subject to means-plus-function construction.	
of node related information		
between the data plane means	Function (Claim 1): fast propagation of node related	
in each node and forwarding	information between the data plane means in each node and	
the information to the	forwarding the information to the computing means in the	
computing means in the	network	
network" (Claim 1)		
	Function (Claims 9 & 17): fast propagation of node related	
"means for fast propagation	information to and from the data plane means in other nodes in	
of node related information to	the network and forwarding the information to the computing	
and from the data plane	means	
means in other nodes in the		
network and forwarding the	Structure (Claims 1, 9, & 17) : 3:19-52 (switching fabric 214)	
information to the computing	and link interface 216; wherein the link interface comprises a	
means" (Claims 9 & 17)	fast link state processor (FSLP) 218 and a link failure database	
	(LFDB) structure 228), 4:1-4, 7:18-20 (forwarding to CPU over	
(Proposed by both Parties)	link 236); and equivalent structures	

-478 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,126,921 (Agreed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Agreed Construction	
"means for fast propagation	Subject to means-plus-function construction.	
of link state information"		

(Claims 1, 9 & 17)	Function: fast propagation of link state information
(Proposed by Defendants)	Structure : 7:60-8:3 (Fast Link State Processor (FLSP) 218, Fabric Interface 226 and Switch Fabric 214 structure), 8:21-27; and equivalent structures

-478 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,126,921 (Agreed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Agreed Construction	
"the data, plane means"	"the data plane means"	
(Claim 9)		
(Proposed by Plaintiff)		

III. GROUP 3: -477 AND -482 CASES

A. -477 Case, U.S. Patent No. 8,913,489

-477 Case, U.S. Patent No. 8,913,489 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"the first set of port interfaces of the multi-chassis link aggregate" (claims 1, 8, 15) (Proposed by Defendants)	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite

B. -482 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,424,020

-482 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,424,020 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"removing, at the network node, the protocol data of a portion of protocol layers from the received data stream" (claim 1) / "removes protocol data from a portion of protocol layers from a data stream" (claim 6)	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite
(Proposed by Defendants)		

-482 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,424,020 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"a control unit which	Plain and ordinary meaning;	Indefinite.
removes protocol data from a	not indefinite under <i>IPXL</i>	
portion of protocol layers		In the alternative: this term is
from a data stream received		subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶
from the communication		6.

network via the second	
interface, the data stream	Function : [1] removes
comprising useful data and	protocol data from a portion
the protocol data, and	of protocol layers from a data
switches a remaining data	stream received from the
stream to be transmitted to	communication network via
one of the terminals via the	the second interface, the data
first interface" (claim 6)	stream comprising useful data
	and the protocol data, and [2]
(Proposed by Defendants)	switches a remaining data
	stream to be transmitted to
	one of the terminals via the
	first interface
	Structure: control unit
	CONTR executing function
	PHN, containing processes P1
	to P3 and function SW; and
	equivalent structures

-482 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,424,020 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"bus system" (claims 1 and 6)	Plain and ordinary meaning	"a network that does not
		include any active
(Proposed by Defendants)		components such as switching
		nodes, gateways, routers, or
		bridges, wherein all nodes are
		connected to a single wire"

IV. GROUP 4: -474, 475, -476, AND -479 CASES

A. -474 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,212,536

-474 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,212,536 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"bridge" (claims 1, 12)	Plain and ordinary meaning	"a network interface device
		that operates no higher than
(Proposed by Defendants)		the data link layer"

-474 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,212,536 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"channel in a connection	Plain and ordinary meaning	"one of the paths that has been
based network" (claims 1, 12)		established in a network for
		communications"
(Proposed by Defendants)		

-474 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,212,536 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"forwarding system	Plain and ordinary meaning	This term is subject to 35
configured to read a priority		U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6.
of a data frame to be		
forwarded onto the		Function : read a priority of a
connection-based network by		data frame to be forwarded
way of the first one of the		onto the connection-based
ports, identify a service		network by way of the first
interface which the map		one of the ports, identify a
indicates corresponds to the		service interface which the
read user priority and forward		map indicates corresponds to
the data frame over the		the read user priority and
channel in the connection-		forward the data frame over
based network associated		the channel in the connection-
with the identified service		based network associated with
interface" (claim 1)		the identified service interface
(Proposed by Defendants)		Structure: Indefinite

-474 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,212,536 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"means for reading priorities	Subject to means-plus-	This term is subject to 35
of data frames directed by the	function construction.	U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6.
bridge to at least a first one of		
the bridge ports" (claim 12)	Function: reading priorities	Function: reading priorities
	of data frames directed by the	of data frames directed by the
(Proposed by both Parties)	bridge to at least a first one of	bridge to at least a first one of
	the bridge ports	the bridge ports
	Structure : bridge, with	Structure: Indefinite
	bridging system and bridge	
	port, and equivalents thereof	
	Algorithm (if required): see	
	e.g., 4:26-37, 5:40-55, 6:4-14,	
	6:15-42, 7:23-44, 8:21-28,	
	Figs. 1, 2, 4, 5A-I, 6, and	
	equivalents thereof.	
	1	

B. -475 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,453,888

-475 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,453,888 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction

"stackable trunk port"	Plain and ordinary meaning	"trunk port supporting the
(Claims 1, 8, 9, 10, 11–13,		Riverstone solution (i.e. the
15, 19, 20)		additional extension 802.1Q
		packet header)"
(Proposed by Defendants)		,

-475 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,453,888 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"backbone VLAN trunk" (Claims 1, 5–7, 12, 15–20)	Plain and ordinary meaning	"data transport trunk links defined between stackable trunk ports on core routers"
(Proposed by Defendants)		

-475 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,453,888 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"wherein the selection and association of at least one backbone VLAN ID with each one of the corresponding plurality of backbone VLAN trunks is undertaken irrespective of one of an inuse and a stand-by designation of each one of the plurality of backbone VLAN trunks and each one of the plurality of stackable trunk ports" (claim 1) / "wherein the association of the plurality of backbone VLAN IDs with the backbone VLAN trunk is undertaken irrespective of one of an inuse and a stand-by designation of the backbone VLAN trunk and the at least one stackable trunk port" (claim 15)	Plain and ordinary meaning	"wherein the provisioning method ignores the designation of a backbone VLAN trunk as in-use or stand-by when associating the backbone VLAN ID with the backbone VLAN trunks (as opposed to, during association of VLANs with trunks, explicitly designating physical VLANs associated with a logical VLAN as in-use and explicitly designating other physical VLANs associated with the logical VLAN as back-up)"
(Proposed by Defendants)		

C. -476 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,565,435

-476 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,565,435 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Claim Term/Phrase Plaintiff's Construction Defendants' Construction	

"setting the IPPC of one of	Plain and ordinary meaning	order of steps
the ports of one of said	-	The setting of the IPPC to a
bridges within the MSTI to a		lower IPPC must occur after
lower IPPC when said port is		the creation and configuration
part of the VLAN member		of the Multiple Spanning Tree
set" (claims 1, 8, 13)		Instances step and after the
		creation of the VLAN member
(Proposed by Defendants)		sets step

-476 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,565,435 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"ideally" (claims 7, 11, 18)	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite
(Proposed by Defendants)		

-476 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,565,435 (Disputed)		
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"processing unit for setting	Plain and ordinary meaning	This is subject to 35 U.S.C. §
the Internal Port Path Cost		112, ¶ 6.
(IPPC) of one of the ports of		
one of said bridges within the		Function : setting the Internal
MSTI to a high IPPC when		Port Path Cost (IPPC) of one
said port is not part of the		of the ports of one of said
VLAN member set" (claim 8)		bridges within the MSTI [to a
/ "processing unit for setting		high IPPC when said port is
the IPPC of one of the ports		not part of the VLAN
of one of said bridges within		member set / to a lower IPPC
the MSTI to a lower IPPC		when said port is part of the
when said port is part of the		VLAN member set]
VLAN member set" (claim 8)		
		Structure: Indefinite
(Proposed by Defendants)		

-476 Case, U.S. Patent No. 7,565,435 (Disputed)				
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction		
Entirety of claims 9–11 and 13–18	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite		
(Proposed by Defendants)				

D. -479 Case, U.S. Patent No. 8,402,129

-479 Case, U.S. Patent No. 8,402,129 (Disputed)				
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction		

"rate of change" (claim 3)	Plain and ordinary meaning	Plain and ordinary meaning;
		not an instantaneous value
(Proposed by Defendants)		measured at a fixed point in
		time

-479 Case, U.S. Patent No. 8,402,129 (Disputed)				
Claim Term/Phrase	Plaintiff's Construction	Defendants' Construction		
"initiating a poll of resources in the nodes of the network by the management station in response to reporting from the node or a time interval being exceeded" (claim 3)	Plain and ordinary meaning	Both of these events trigger a poll ⁹		
(Proposed by Defendants)				

Dated: April 19, 2021

⁹ As stated in Defendants' briefing, Defendants are amenable to replacing "trigger" with "initiate." D.I. 85 at 38 n.25. In addition, Defendants would be satisfied with a construction that "management station" means "a management station that is capable of initiating a poll in response to both reporting from the node and a time interval being exceeded." D.I. 90 at 19 n.16.

By: /s/ Mark D. Siegmund

James L. Etheridge

James L. Etheridge

Texas State Bar No. 24059147

Ryan S. Loveless

Texas State Bar No. 24036997

Travis L. Richins

Texas State Bar No. 24061296

ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP, PLLC

2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Suite 120 / 324

Southlake, Texas 76092 Telephone: (817) 470-7249 Facsimile: (817) 887-5950 Jim@EtheridgeLaw.com Ryan@EtheridgeLaw.com Travis@EtheridgeLaw.com

Mark D. Siegmund State Bar No. 24117055 mark@waltfairpllc.com Law Firm of Walt, Fair PLLC. 1508 North Valley Mills Drive

Waco, Texas 76710

Telephone: (254) 772-6400 Facsimile: (254) 772-6432

Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: /s/ Jaysen S. Chung

Barry K. Shelton

Texas State Bar No. 24055029 bshelton@sheltoncoburn.com

SHELTON COBURN LLP

311 RR 620, Suite 205 Austin, TX 78734-4775 Telephone: 512.263.2165 Facsimile: 512.263.2166

Benjamin Hershkowitz

bhershkowitz@gibsondunn.com

Brian A. Rosenthal

brosenthal@gibsondunn.com

Allen Kathir

akathir@gibsondunn.com

Kyanna Sabanoglu

ksabanoglu@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone: 212.351.4000 Facsimile: 212.351.4035

Y. Ernest Hsin

ehsin@gibsondunn.com

Jaysen S. Chung

jschung@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921

Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

Ryan K. Iwahashi

riwahashi@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

1881 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, CA 94304-1211 Telephone: 650.849.5300 Facsimile: 650.849.5333

Attorneys for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on April 19, 2021, all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document through the Court's CM/ECF system under Local Rule CV-5(b)(1).

/s/ Mark D. Siegmund
Mark D. Siegmund